
Ecosystem Services Assessment





Stockholm Resilience Centre Research for Governance of Social-Ecological Systems A centre with:







Valuation of Ecosystem services

Methods and basis for decisions:	Suitable for ecosystem services
Monetary terms (WTP-studies, cost-benefit analysis)	that we have good knowledge of and is normative/ethically uncontroversial, e g. goods such as timber, water cleansing and recreational values.
Quantitative terms (mapping, status, statistics,multi-criteria analysis)	can be measured but is difficult to translate to monetary value, e g. multifunctionality in wetlands or forests.
Qualitative terms (dialogues with actors)	are difficult to measure and difficult to translate to monetary value e g. insurance values and irreversible effects. Better knowledge base is needed.



Economic instruments


 Review of taxes, fees and reduce harmful subsidies

Legitimacy of process Legitimacy of outcomes: Effectiveness, Efficiency, Equity, e.g. distributional effects





Three categories of proposed action





Synliggöra värdet av ekosystemtjänster